

[Rigidity of Polyhedral Spheres beyond Triangulations](#page-71-0) University of Warwick

# Rigidity of Polyhedral Spheres beyond **TRIANGULATIONS**

Martin Winter

University of Warwick

March 6, 2024

Joint work with Bernd Schulze, Matthias Himmelmann, Albert Zhang

### Triangular and polyhedral spheres







Bricard octahedron

University of Warwick · Martin Winter 2 / 29



"A polyhedral sphere is a bunch of polygons glued edge to edge so that they form a topological sphere."

polyhedral graph

- **a** polyhedral sphere  $P = (V, E)$  is a 3-connected planar graph.
- Its faces we denote by  $F_1, ..., F_m \subset V$ .
- $\blacktriangleright$  a **realization** of  $\mathcal P$  is a map  $\boldsymbol p:V\to\mathbb R^3$  so that the points  $p_i,i\in F_k$  lie on a common plane.
- $\triangleright$  in a triangulated sphere all faces are triangles.

### Flexing polyhedral spheres



 $\blacktriangleright$  preserving edge lengths but also

 $\blacktriangleright$  preserve planarity of faces

### Flexing polyhedral spheres



 $\blacktriangleright$  preserving edge lengths but also

 $\blacktriangleright$  preserve planarity of faces

$$
\#DOFs - \#constraints = 3|V| - (|E| + \sum_{k} (|F_k| - 3)) = 6.
$$

# <span id="page-6-0"></span>Triangulated Spheres

... good old frameworks

#### Rigidity of triangulated spheres

#### Core results

**Convex triangulated spheres are globally rigid.**  $(CAUCHY)$ I Convex triangulated spheres are first-order rigid. (Dehn)  $Triangulared spheres$  are generically first-order rigid.  $(GLUCK)$ Flexible triangulated spheres exist. (BRICARD, CONNELLY, STEFFEN)



<span id="page-8-0"></span>MOVING BEYOND TRIANGULATIONS

#### Rigidity of general polyhedral spheres

#### Core results

**I Convex polyhedra with fixed face shapes are globally rigid.** (CAUCHY)

 $(a)$  iso in higher dimensions)  $(ALEXANDROV)$ 

I Triangulating a convex polyhedron makes it first-order rigid. (ALEXANDROV)









### MINKOWSKI SUMS  $A + B := \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$



### MINKOWSKI SUMS  $A + B := \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$



# Only Minkowski sums?

Question: Are all flexible convex polyhedra Minkowski sums?

#### Notes:

- $\blacktriangleright$  This includes rotating/flexing a proper Minkowski summand.
- Not all Minkowski sums are flexible.



#### $\overline{A}$ FFINE FLEXES := a flex realized by an affine transformation



Question: Are all affinely flexible polyhedra Minkowski sums?









Theorem. (Himmelmann, Schulze, W., Zhang, 2024+)

The regular dodecahedron is ...

- X not first-order rigid. (5-dimensional space of first-order flexes)
- X not prestress stable.
- ✓ second-order rigid.

### No generic global rigidity



## Many open questions

#### **Question:** (about convex spheres)

- $\blacktriangleright$  Is second order rigidity always sufficient?
- $\triangleright$  Does flexibility need parallel edges?
- $\blacktriangleright$  Is polytope rigidity preserved under affine transformations?

(first-order flexibility is not)

# <span id="page-21-0"></span>GENERIC first-order rigidity

Theorem. (Himmelmann, Schulze, W., Zhang, 2024)

Convex polyhedral spheres are generically first-order rigid.

Theorem. (Himmelmann, Schulze, W., Zhang, 2024)

Convex polyhedral spheres are generically first-order rigid.

$$
\text{Real}(\mathcal{P}) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{p} \colon V \to \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \boldsymbol{n} \colon F \to \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \end{array} \middle| \langle p_i, n_k \rangle = 1 \text{ if } i \in F_k \right\}
$$

#### Theorem. (Himmelmann, Schulze, W., Zhang, 2024)

Convex polyhedral spheres are generically first-order rigid.

$$
\text{Real}(\mathcal{P}) := \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{p} \colon V \to \mathbb{R}^3 \\ &\boldsymbol{n} \colon F \to \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \end{aligned} \right| \langle p_i, n_k \rangle = 1 \text{ if } i \in F_k \left\} \\ \text{Real-cvx}(\mathcal{P}) := \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{p} \colon V \to \mathbb{R}^3 \\ &\boldsymbol{n} \colon F \to \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \end{aligned} \right| \langle p_i, n_k \rangle = 1 \text{ if } i \in F_k \left\} \\ &\langle p_i, n_k \rangle < 1 \text{ if } i \notin F_k \right\}
$$

Theorem. (Himmelmann, Schulze, W., Zhang, 2024)

Convex polyhedral spheres are generically first-order rigid.

$$
\text{Real}(\mathcal{P}) := \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{p} \colon V \to \mathbb{R}^3 \\ &\boldsymbol{n} \colon F \to \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \end{aligned} \right| \langle p_i, n_k \rangle = 1 \text{ if } i \in F_k \left\} \\ \text{Real-cvx}(\mathcal{P}) := \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{p} \colon V \to \mathbb{R}^3 \\ &\boldsymbol{n} \colon F \to \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \end{aligned} \right| \langle p_i, n_k \rangle = 1 \text{ if } i \in F_k \left\} \\ &\langle p_i, n_k \rangle < 1 \text{ if } i \notin F_k \right\}
$$

A finite flex preserves

$$
||p_i - p_j|| \stackrel{!}{=} \ell_{ij} = \text{const} \qquad \text{for } ij \in E
$$
  

$$
\langle p_i, n_k \rangle \stackrel{!}{=} 1 \qquad \text{for } i \in F_k
$$

Theorem. (Himmelmann, Schulze, W., Zhang, 2024)

Convex polyhedral spheres are generically first-order rigid.

$$
\text{Real}(\mathcal{P}) := \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{p} \colon V \to \mathbb{R}^3 \\ &\boldsymbol{n} \colon F \to \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \end{aligned} \right| \langle p_i, n_k \rangle = 1 \text{ if } i \in F_k \left\} \\ \text{Real-cvx}(\mathcal{P}) := \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{p} \colon V \to \mathbb{R}^3 \\ &\boldsymbol{n} \colon F \to \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \end{aligned} \right| \langle p_i, n_k \rangle = 1 \text{ if } i \in F_k \left\} \\ &\langle p_i, n_k \rangle < 1 \text{ if } i \notin F_k \right\}
$$

A finite flex preserves

$$
||p_i - p_j|| \stackrel{!}{=} \ell_{ij} = \text{const} \qquad \text{for } ij \in E
$$
  

$$
\langle p_i, n_k \rangle \stackrel{!}{=} 1 \qquad \text{for } i \in F_k
$$

A first-order flex  $(\dot{p}, \dot{n})$  satisfies

$$
\langle p_i - p_j, \dot{p}_i - \dot{p}_j \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } ij \in E
$$
  

$$
\langle p_i, \dot{n}_k \rangle + \langle \dot{p}_i, n_k \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } i \in F_k
$$

# <span id="page-27-0"></span>THE PROOF

 $-$  the triangular case  $-$ "Triangular spheres are generically first-order rigid."

[The Proof](#page-27-0)

$$
\# \text{edges } \left\{ ij \in E \text{ -- } p_i \text{ -- } p_j \text{ -- } p_j \text{ -- } p_i \text{
$$

 $\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}$  is a first-order flex  $\iff \mathcal{R}(G, \boldsymbol{p})\dot{\boldsymbol{p}} = 0$ 

[The Proof](#page-27-0)



[The Proof](#page-27-0)

p˙ is a first-order flex ⇐⇒ R(G, p)p˙ = 0 (G, p) is first-order rigid ⇐⇒ corank R(G, p) = 6

#columns – #rows =  $3|V| - |E| = 6 =$  #trivial first-order flexes.

[The Proof](#page-27-0)





## **STRESSES**

$$
\mathcal{R}(G,\boldsymbol{p})^{\top}\boldsymbol{\omega}=0.
$$

$$
\forall i \in V: \sum_{j:i,j \in E} \omega_{ij}(p_j - p_i) = 0.
$$



first-order flexible  $\iff \ker \mathcal{R}(G,{\bm p})^\top = \{0\} \iff \exists$  non-zero stress

#### GENERIC FIRST-ORDER RIGIDITY

 $\mathrm{ReAL}(G)\, :=\, \big\{$  3-dimensional frameworks on  $G\,\big\} \, = \, \mathbb{R}^{3V}$  $\text{FLEX}(G) := \{ \text{ first-order flexible frameworks on } G \}$  $\mathcal{P} = \left\{\, \boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{3V} \mid \mathrm{rank} \, \mathcal{R}(G, \boldsymbol{p}) < |E| \,\right\}$  $\mathcal{L} = \, \big\{ \, \bm{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{3V} \mid \det(A) = 0 \, \, \text{for all} \, \, |E| \times |E| \, \, \text{submatrices} \, \, A \, \, \text{of} \, \, \mathcal{R}(G, \bm{p}) \, \big\}.$ 

[The Proof](#page-27-0)

#### GENERIC FIRST-ORDER RIGIDITY

 $\mathrm{ReAL}(G)\, :=\, \big\{$  3-dimensional frameworks on  $G\,\big\} \, = \, \mathbb{R}^{3V}$  $\text{FLEX}(G) := \{ \text{ first-order flexible frameworks on } G \}$  $\mathcal{P} = \left\{\, \boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{3V} \mid \mathrm{rank} \, \mathcal{R}(G, \boldsymbol{p}) < |E| \,\right\}$  $\mathcal{L} = \, \big\{ \, \bm{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{3V} \mid \det(A) = 0 \, \, \text{for all} \, \, |E| \times |E| \, \, \text{submatrices} \, \, A \, \, \text{of} \, \, \mathcal{R}(G, \bm{p}) \, \big\}.$ 

- $\implies$   $\text{FLEX}(G) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{3V}$  is the zero set of polynomials.
- $\implies$  either  ${\rm FLEX}(G) = \mathbb{R}^{3V}$  or  ${\rm FLEX}(G)$  has measure zero.

[The Proof](#page-27-0)

#### GENERIC FIRST-ORDER RIGIDITY

 $\mathrm{ReAL}(G)\, :=\, \big\{$  3-dimensional frameworks on  $G\,\big\} \, = \, \mathbb{R}^{3V}$  $\text{FLEX}(G) := \{ \text{ first-order flexible frameworks on } G \}$  $\mathcal{P} = \left\{\, \boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{3V} \mid \mathrm{rank} \, \mathcal{R}(G, \boldsymbol{p}) < |E| \,\right\}$  $\mathcal{L} = \, \big\{ \, \bm{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{3V} \mid \det(A) = 0 \, \, \text{for all} \, \, |E| \times |E| \, \, \text{submatrices} \, \, A \, \, \text{of} \, \, \mathcal{R}(G, \bm{p}) \, \big\}.$ 

- $\implies$   $\text{FLEX}(G) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{3V}$  is the zero set of polynomials.
- $\implies$  either  ${\rm FLEX}(G) = \mathbb{R}^{3V}$  or  ${\rm FLEX}(G)$  has measure zero.

**Recall:** a convex realization is first-order rigid. (DEHN)

$$
\implies \text{FLEX}(G) \neq \mathbb{R}^{3V}.
$$

[The Proof](#page-27-0)

 $\implies$  FLEX(G) has measure zero.
# <span id="page-36-0"></span>THE PROOF

 $-$  the polyhedral case  $-$ 

"Convex polyhedral spheres are generically first-order rigid."

## RIGIDITY MATRIX  $\mathcal{R}(P)$

[The Proof](#page-36-0)



## RIGIDITY MATRIX  $\mathcal{R}(P)$

[The Proof](#page-36-0)



 $\#\textsf{columns}~-~\#\textsf{rows}~=~\big(3|V|+3|F|\big)~-~\big(|E|+|VF|\big)~=~6$ 



$$
\mathcal{R}(P)^{\top}(\boldsymbol{\omega},\boldsymbol{\alpha})=0
$$





$$
\mathcal{R}(P)^{\!\top\!}(\boldsymbol{\omega},\boldsymbol{\alpha})=0
$$





$$
\mathcal{R}(P)^{\!\top\!}(\boldsymbol{\omega},\boldsymbol{\alpha})=0
$$



**Observation:** If  $F_k$  is triangular face, then  $\alpha_{ik} = 0$ .



## GENERIC RIGIDITY OF POLYHEDRAL SPHERES

Theorem. (Himmelmann, Schulze, W., Zhang)

Convex polyhedral spheres are generically first-order rigid.

 $\forall P$  FLEX(P) has measure zero in REAL-CVX(P).



Theorem. (Himmelmann, Schulze, W., Zhang)

Convex polyhedral spheres are generically first-order rigid.

 $\forall P$  FLEX(P) has measure zero in REAL-CVX(P).

Question: What about potentially non-convex polyhedral spheres?

[The Proof](#page-36-0)

## Generic rigidity of polyhedral spheres

Theorem. (Himmelmann, Schulze, W., Zhang)

Convex polyhedral spheres are generically first-order rigid.

 $\forall P$  FLEX(P) has measure zero in REAL-CVX(P).

Question: What about potentially non-convex polyhedral spheres? Strategy:

- 1. Polynomial method:  $FLEX(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq REAL(\mathcal{P})$  is a sub-variety.
	- $\rightarrow$  FLEX(P) = REAL(P) or  $FLEX(\mathcal{P})$  has measure zero in  $REAL(\mathcal{P})$ .
- 2. Show: there exists at least one realization that is first-order rigid.

[The Proof](#page-36-0)

## Generic rigidity of polyhedral spheres

Theorem. (Himmelmann, Schulze, W., Zhang)

Convex polyhedral spheres are generically first-order rigid.

 $\forall P$  FLEX(P) has measure zero in REAL-CVX(P).

Question: What about potentially non-convex polyhedral spheres?

#### Strategy:

- 1. Polynomial method:  $FLEX(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq REAL-CVX(\mathcal{P})$  is a sub-variety.
	- $\longrightarrow$  FLEX(P) = REAL-CVX(P) or  $FLEX(\mathcal{P})$  has measure zero in REAL-CVX( $\mathcal{P}$ ).
- 2. Show: there exists at least one convex realization that is first-order rigid.

### Theorem. (STEINITZ)

[The Proof](#page-36-0)

 $\mathrm{REAL}\text{-}\mathrm{Cvx}(\mathcal{P})\subset\mathbb{R}^{3V}\times\mathbb{R}^{3F}$  is (an open subset of) a smooth, irreducible, contractible variety of dimension  $|E| + 6$ .



$$
\text{ReAL}(\mathcal{P}) := \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{p} \colon V \to \mathbb{R}^3 \\ &\boldsymbol{n} \colon F \to \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \end{aligned} \right| \langle p_i, n_k \rangle = 1 \quad \text{if } i \in F_k \left\} \\ \text{ReAL-CVX}(\mathcal{P}) := \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{p} \colon V \to \mathbb{R}^3 \\ &\boldsymbol{n} \colon F \to \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \end{aligned} \right| \langle p_i, n_k \rangle = 1 \quad \text{if } i \in F_k \\ \langle p_i, n_k \rangle < 1 \quad \text{if } i \notin F_k \left\} \end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{FLEX}(\mathcal{P}) &:= \left\{ \left. \left( \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{n} \right) \in \text{ReAL-CVX}(P) \mid (\mathcal{P}, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{n}) \text{ is first-order flexible} \right\} \right. \\ &= \left\{ \left. \left( \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{n} \right) \in \text{ReAL-CVX}(P) \mid \text{rank } \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{n}) < |E| + |VF| \right. \right\} \end{aligned}
$$

- $\implies$  FLEX(G)  $\subseteq$  REAL-CVX(P) is the zero set of polynomials.
- $\implies$  either  $FLEX(\mathcal{P}) = REAL-CVX(P)$ or  $FLEX(\mathcal{P})$  has measure zero in  $REAL-CVX(\mathcal{P})$ .



$$
\text{ReAL}(\mathcal{P}) := \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{p} \colon V \to \mathbb{R}^3 \\ &\boldsymbol{n} \colon F \to \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \end{aligned} \right| \langle p_i, n_k \rangle = 1 \quad \text{if } i \in F_k \left\} \\ \text{ReAL-CVX}(\mathcal{P}) := \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{p} \colon V \to \mathbb{R}^3 \\ &\boldsymbol{n} \colon F \to \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \end{aligned} \right| \langle p_i, n_k \rangle = 1 \quad \text{if } i \in F_k \\ \langle p_i, n_k \rangle < 1 \quad \text{if } i \notin F_k \left\} \end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{FLEX}(\mathcal{P}) &:= \left\{ \left. \left( \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{n} \right) \in \text{ReAL-CVX}(P) \mid (\mathcal{P}, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{n}) \text{ is first-order flexible} \right\} \right. \\ &= \left\{ \left. \left( \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{n} \right) \in \text{ReAL-CVX}(P) \mid \text{rank } \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{n}) < |E| + |VF| \right. \right\} \end{aligned}
$$

- $\implies$  FLEX(G)  $\subset$  REAL-CVX(P) is the zero set of polynomials.
- $\implies$  either  $FLEX(\mathcal{P}) = REAL-CVX(P)$ or  $FLEX(\mathcal{P})$  has measure zero in  $REAL-CVX(\mathcal{P})$ .

It remains to show: there exists a first-order rigid convex realization.

# THE PROOF

<span id="page-48-0"></span>– proving existence – "There exists at least one first-order rigid realization."

Decreasing the edge number by contraction:



Theorem. (TUTTE)

[The Proof](#page-48-0)

If  $G \neq K_4$  is 3-connected, there is an edge  $e \in E$  for which  $G/e$  is 3-connected.

Induction base:

[The Proof](#page-48-0)

 $\blacktriangleright$   $|E| = 6$  (simplex) is clearly rigid.

Induction step:

- ► Choose an edge  $e \in E$  for which  $G_P/e$  is polyhedral.
- Induction hypothesis: there is a first-order rigid realizations  $P'$  of  $G_P/e$ .
- ► Choose a sequence of realizations  $P_1, P_2, P_3, ... \longrightarrow P'$ .
- Show: if each  $P_i$  has a non-zero stress, so does  $P'$ .  $\frac{1}{2}$ <br>  $\longrightarrow$  some  $P_i$  must be first-order rigid

 $\longrightarrow$  some  $P_i$  must be first-order rigid.



Induction base:

[The Proof](#page-48-0)

 $\blacktriangleright$   $|E| = 6$  (simplex) is clearly rigid.

### Induction step:

- $\triangleright$  Choose an edge  $e \in E$  for which  $G_P/e$  is polyhedral.
- Induction hypothesis: there is a first-order rigid realizations  $P'$  of  $G_P/e$ .
- ? ► Choose a sequence of realizations  $P_1, P_2, P_3, ... \longrightarrow P'$ .
- **?** In Show: if each  $P_i$  has a non-zero stress, so does  $P'$ .  $\frac{1}{2}$ <br>  $\longrightarrow$  some  $P_i$  must be first-order rigid

 $\rightarrow$  some  $P_i$  must be first-order rigid.



## STRESSES SURVIVE CONTRACTION

Given a sequence  $P_1,P_2,P_3,... \longrightarrow P'$  realizing the contracting  $\hat{\imath} \hat{j} \longrightarrow \hat{\hat{y}}$ .

#### Lemma.

[The Proof](#page-48-0)

If each  $P_n$  has a non-zero stress  $(\boldsymbol{\omega}^n,\boldsymbol{\alpha}^n)$ , then  $P'$  also has a non-zero stress  $(\boldsymbol{\omega}', \boldsymbol{\alpha}')$  .

$$
\omega_{ij}^n \longrightarrow \omega_{ij}' \qquad \text{if } i, j \notin \{\hat{\imath}, \hat{\jmath}\}
$$

$$
\omega_{ii}^n + \omega_{ij}^n \longrightarrow \omega_{i\hat{\jmath}}' \qquad \text{if } i \notin \{\hat{\imath}, \hat{\jmath}\}
$$

$$
\omega_{ij}^n \longrightarrow -
$$

$$
\alpha_{ik}^n \longrightarrow \alpha_{ik}' \qquad \text{if } i \notin \{\hat{\imath}, \hat{\jmath}\}
$$

$$
\alpha_{ik}^n + \alpha_{jk}^n \longrightarrow \alpha_{\hat{g}k}'
$$

## STRESSES SURVIVE CONTRACTION

Given a sequence  $P_1,P_2,P_3,... \longrightarrow P'$  realizing the contracting  $\hat{\imath} \hat{j} \longrightarrow \hat{\hat{y}}$ .

#### Lemma.

[The Proof](#page-48-0)

If each  $P_n$  has a non-zero stress  $(\boldsymbol{\omega}^n,\boldsymbol{\alpha}^n)$ , then  $P'$  also has a non-zero stress  $(\boldsymbol{\omega}', \boldsymbol{\alpha}')$  .

$$
\omega_{ij}^{n} \longrightarrow \omega_{ij}' \qquad \text{if } i, j \notin \{\hat{\imath}, \hat{\jmath}\}
$$

$$
\omega_{ii}^{n} + \omega_{ij}^{n} \longrightarrow \omega_{i\hat{\jmath}}' \qquad \text{if } i \notin \{\hat{\imath}, \hat{\jmath}\}
$$

$$
\omega_{ij}^{n} \longrightarrow -
$$

$$
\alpha_{ik}^{n} \longrightarrow \alpha_{ik}' \qquad \text{if } i \notin \{\hat{\imath}, \hat{\jmath}\}
$$

$$
\alpha_{ik}^{n} + \alpha_{jk}^{n} \longrightarrow \alpha_{\hat{g}k}'
$$



**Note:** if  $F_k$  is a triangle, then  $\alpha_{ik} = 0$ .

Induction base:

[The Proof](#page-48-0)

 $\blacktriangleright$   $|E| = 6$  (simplex) is clearly rigid.

### Induction step:

- $\triangleright$  Choose an edge  $e \in E$  for which  $G_P/e$  is polyhedral.
- Induction hypothesis: there is a first-order rigid realizations  $P'$  of  $G_P/e$ .
- ? ► Choose a sequence of realizations  $P_1, P_2, P_3, ... \longrightarrow P'$ .
- $\checkmark$  ► Show: if each  $P_i$  has a non-zero stress, so does  $P'$ .  $\frac{1}{2}$ <br>  $\longrightarrow$  some  $P_i$  must be first-order rigid

 $\rightarrow$  some  $P_i$  must be first-order rigid.



## CONTRACTING EDGES GEOMETRICALLY

How to find the sequence  $P_1, P_2, P_3, ... \longrightarrow P^{\prime}$ ?



Maxwell-Cremona correspondence

"Polyhedral realizations are in 1:1 relation with planar stressed frameworks."

 $\blacktriangleright$  Tutte embedding

[The Proof](#page-48-0)

"One can prescribe the stresses of a planar framework."



Input:  $P'$ 



Input:  $P'$ 





Input:  $P'$ 





Input:  $P'$ 





Input:  $P'$ 







Input:  $P'$ 





Input:  $P'$ 





Input:  $P'$ 



Induction base:

[The Proof](#page-48-0)

 $\blacktriangleright$   $|E| = 6$  (simplex) is clearly rigid.

### Induction step:

- $\triangleright$  Choose an edge  $e \in E$  for which  $G_P/e$  is polyhedral.
- Induction hypothesis: there is a first-order rigid realizations  $P'$  of  $G_P/e$ .
- $\blacklozenge$   $\blacktriangleright$  Choose a sequence of realizations  $P_1, P_2, P_3, ... \longrightarrow P'.$
- $\checkmark$  ► Show: if each  $P_i$  has a non-zero stress, so does  $P'$ .  $\frac{1}{2}$ <br>  $\longrightarrow$  some  $P_i$  must be first-order rigid

 $\rightarrow$  some  $P_i$  must be first-order rigid.



Induction base:

[The Proof](#page-48-0)

 $\blacktriangleright$   $|E| = 6$  (simplex) is clearly rigid.

Induction step:

- ► Choose an edge  $e \in E$  for which  $G_P/e$  is polyhedral.
- Induction hypothesis: there is a first-order rigid realizations  $P'$  of  $G_P/e$ .
- $\blacklozenge$   $\blacktriangleright$  Choose a sequence of realizations  $P_1, P_2, P_3, ... \longrightarrow P'.$
- $\checkmark$  ► Show: if each  $P_i$  has a non-zero stress, so does  $P'$ .  $\frac{1}{2}$ <br>  $\longrightarrow$  some  $P_i$  must be first-order rigid

 $\longrightarrow$  some  $P_i$  must be first-order rigid.



# <span id="page-66-0"></span>Many open questions

## Higher dimensions

**Question:** Are polytopes of dimension  $d \geq 4$  generically first-order rigid?

Problems:

- In first-order rigid  $\neq$  no non-zero stresses
- $\blacktriangleright$  realization space is no longer contractible/connected/irreducible/...
- $\triangleright$  there are no useful analogues of Maxwell-Cremona/Tutte/...

## Higher dimensions

**Question:** Are polytopes of dimension  $d \geq 4$  generically first-order rigid?

Problems:

- In first-order rigid  $\neq$  no non-zero stresses
- realization space is no longer contractible/connected/irreducible/...
- $\triangleright$  there are no useful analogues of Maxwell-Cremona/Tutte/...

However ... can we pull the result up from dimension three?

Fix a generic realization  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ .

 $\rightarrow$  all facets (= 3-dimensional faces) are generic.

 $\blacktriangleright$  Suppose P has a first-order flex.

−→ induces a first-order flex on each facet.

- Ince the facets are generic  $+$  3D, the flexes on each facet must be trivial.
- **Show: the flex of P must therefore be trivial as well.**  $\left(\text{Cauchy, DEHN}\right)$

## Higher dimensions

**Question:** Are polytopes of dimension  $d \geq 4$  generically first-order rigid?

Problems:

- In first-order rigid  $\neq$  no non-zero stresses
- realization space is no longer contractible/connected/irreducible/...
- $\triangleright$  there are no useful analogues of Maxwell-Cremona/Tutte/...

However ... can we pull the result up from dimension three?

- Fix a generic realization  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ .
- **?**  $\longrightarrow$  all facets (= 3-dimensional faces) are generic.
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Suppose P has a first-order flex.

→ induces a first-order flex on each facet.

- $\triangleright$  Since the facets are generic  $+$  3D, the flexes on each facet must be trivial.
- ? Show: the flex of P must therefore be trivial as well.  $(CAUCHY, DEHN)$

## BEYOND CONVEXITY

$$
\text{Real}(\mathcal{P}) := \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{p} \colon V \to \mathbb{R}^3 \\ &\boldsymbol{n} \colon F \to \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \end{aligned} \right| \langle p_i, n_k \rangle = 1 \text{ if } i \in F_k \left\} \\ \text{Real-cvx}(\mathcal{P}) := \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{p} \colon V \to \mathbb{R}^3 \\ &\boldsymbol{n} \colon F \to \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \end{aligned} \right| \langle p_i, n_k \rangle = 1 \text{ if } i \in F_k \left\} \\ &\langle p_i, n_k \rangle < 1 \text{ if } i \notin F_k \right\}
$$

**Question:** Is  $REAL(\mathcal{P})$  irreducible?

or, alternatively,

Question: Can we run the "convex proof" once per irreducible component of  $\text{REAL}(\mathcal{P})$ ?

# Thank you.

